UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/648,993	08/27/2003	David J. Schneider	P755-2/HSCH 200007US01	4365
27885 FAY SHARPE	7590 03/05/201 LLP	EXAMINER		
1228 Euclid Av	enue, 5th Floor	ANDERSON, JAMES D		
The Halle Building Cleveland, OH 44115			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/05/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/648,993	SCHNEIDER, DAVID J.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	JAMES D. ANDERSON	1614				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH	(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 J</u>	anuary 2010.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>43-48,50 and 52-59</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>43-48,50 and 52-59</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>43-48,50 and 52-59</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/c	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•	•				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Burea		- d				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	. Of the certified copies not receive	; α.				
Attachment(s)	_					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Formal Matters

Applicants' response and amendments to the claims, filed 1/8/2010, are acknowledged and entered. Claims 43-48, 50, and 52-59 are pending and under examination.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 1/8/2010 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

Applicants' arguments have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn. The following rejections and/or objections are either reiterated or newly applied. They constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103 - New Ground of Rejection

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 43-48, 50, and 52-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Arsem** (USP No. 2,472,361; Issued June 7, 1949) and **Marks** *et al.* (USP No. 2,817,621; Issued Dec. 24, 1957) in view of **Katzen** (USP No. 4,369,199; Issued Jan. 18, 1983) and **Watson** *et al.* (Biological Control, January 2001, vol. 20, pages 8-15).

Art Unit: 1614

The instant claims are drawn to treating an animal habitat with trichloromelamine such that the pH is lowered to less than 5. Applicants disclose that such treatment has "indirect insecticide properties" and thus can be used to control Darkling beetles.

Arsem discloses the claimed trichloromelamine (Table at col. 7) and teaches that highly halogenated substances such as trichloromalamine are useful as disinfectants and bleaching agents (col. 7, lines 25-35). The invention of Arsem is directed to methods of making trichloromelamine and thus does not disclose treating animal habitats with the compounds of the invention.

Marks discloses compositions for germicidal or disinfecting purposes comprising N-chloro compounds and iodide (col. 1, lines 16-21; col. 2, lines 34-49). Trichloromelamine is a particularly preferred N-chloro compound for use as a germicidal or disinfectant (col. 5, lines 26-27). Marks discloses that the germicidal and disinfectant compositions are preferably employed at a **pH below 5** by using buffering agents such as citrate in of an acid salt (col. 5, line 74 to col. 6, line 25). Also see Example I wherein a composition comprising trichloromelamine, arylalkyl sulfonate, citric acid, monosodium dihydrogen phosphate, and potassium iodide is disclosed. Marks discloses a range of concentration of disinfectant in aqueous solution so as to provide from 50 to 200 ppm of available chlorine (col. 9, line 70 to col. 10, line 5).

Thus, both Arsem and Marks disclose trichloromelamine as a suitable disinfectant and Marks further discloses the use of compositions comprising trichloromelamine as germicides. Neither Arsem nor Marks discloses treating animal habitats with trichloromelamine.

However, Katzen discloses treating animal or poultry waste contained in a dropping pit or sedimentation tank of an animal or poultry confinement or holding pen with a sufficient amount of an acid to achieve and maintain the animal or poultry waste at a **pH of about 4** (Abstract). Also, Katzen discloses treating animal or poultry bedding with an acid to maintain a pH of about 4 (id.). The treatment eliminates the problems of giving off of unhealthy gases and the growth of pathogens in the environment (Abstract; col. 2, lines 28-33; col. 4, lines 39-45).

As evidenced by Watson *et al.*, the darkling beetle is a "common pest" of chicken and turkey houses and "all life stages" are found in poultry litter and manure where they feed on manure, litter, meal, dead birds, and other insects (page 8, right column, second full paragraph)

Art Unit: 1614

It would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a composition comprising trichloromelamine and citric acid as disclosed in Marks to treat animal waste and/or bedding so as to maintain the waste and/or bedding at a pH of about 4 as taught in Katzen. The skilled artisan would expect that such treatment would not only eliminate unhealthy gases and the growth of pathogens as taught in Katzen, but would also have disinfectant and germicidal properties as taught in Arsem and Marks. It is well established in the art that animal housings and bedding are in need of disinfecting and thus treatment of such housings and bedding with a disinfectant solution would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made. Because compositions comprising trichloromelamine were known to have both disinfectant and germicidal properties when used at or below a pH of 5, the skilled artisan would have been motivated to use such compositions for treating an animal habitat, including waste and bedding as disclosed in Katzen.

Marks discloses the use of compositions comprising trichloromelamine as disinfectants and germicides, wherein the compositions are maintained at a pH below 5. As such, use of such compositions in the methods of reducing the pH of animal waste and/or bedding to below about 4 as taught in Katzen would have been *prima facie* obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made.

With regard to claim 47, which recites treatment by dusting the habitat with powdered trichloromelamine, the skilled artisan would expect that dusting a habitat with trichloromelamine would maintain the disinfectant and germicidal properties of trichloromelamine as taught in Arsem and Marks. As such, this method of application of trichloromelamine is not seen as a patentable distinction over the cited prior art.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been carefully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive. Applicant argues that the preamble of independent claim, "controlling Darkling beetles" must be given patentable weight. The Examiner previously stated that the presence of Darkling beetles is not required because Applicant states in the specification that the claimed method has "indirect" insecticidal properties in which "the <u>formation</u> and growth of the Darkling

Art Unit: 1614

beetle will not occur" (page 9, line 20 to page 10, line 2). As such, "controlling" Darkling beetles encompasses an embodiment in which Darkling beetles are not present prior to trichloromelamine application.

Page 5

Applicant has added new claims 52-59 which add the limitation that Darkling beetles are present in the animal habitat prior to the treatment step. However, as evidenced by Watson *et al.*, the darkling beetle is a "common pest" of chicken and turkey houses and "all life stages" are found in poultry litter and manure where they feed on manure, litter, meal, dead birds, and other insects (page 1, right column, second full paragraph). As discussed supra, Arsem and Marks *et al.* in view of Katzen suggest and motivate the treatment of animal waste and/or bedding so as to reduce the pH to below about 4 to eliminate the problems of giving off of unhealthy gases and the growth of pathogens in the environment. A composition comprising trichloromelamine having germicidal or disinfecting properties is disclosed in the cited prior art and is preferably employed at a pH below 5. As such, treatment of a poultry animal waste and/or bedding with a composition comprising trichloromelamine as suggested and motivated by the cited prior art will naturally result in the claimed effect of "controlling Darkling beetles". As evidenced by Watson et al., such poultry waste and/or bedding will also naturally contain the "common pest", Darkling beetles.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAMES D. ANDERSON whose telephone number is (571)272-9038. The examiner can normally be reached on MON-FRI 9:00 am - 5:00 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel can be reached on 571-272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/648,993 Page 6

Art Unit: 1614

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/James D Anderson/ Examiner, Art Unit 1614